

**Agricultural Education, Communications, and Technology**  
**AEED-MS Assessment Report 2021-22**

**1. Contact Name:**

Jeff Miller, AECT Assessment Coordinator  
(479) 575-5650  
jdmiller@uark.edu

**A. AEED-MS Program Goals**

- Develop technology transfer specialists with strong communication skills and problem solving abilities who are prepared to serve diverse populations.
- Stimulate intellectual capacity in students for integrating multi-disciplinary knowledge, technology and values.
- Enhance the leadership skills of future professionals in agriculture, food and natural resource careers.
- Produce graduates with broad technical skills in agricultural science and technology.

**B. Key Expected Outcomes for Graduate Students, 2021-22**

Assessment efforts in 2021-22 focused on the AEED MS theses completed this year.

**C. Student Learning Outcome 2 (from assessment plan).** AEED MS students will demonstrate problem solving skills in a supporting area of agriculture, education, technology or communications.

**Assessment Measure 1**

Master's student theses and oral exams will be evaluated for expertise in problem solving related to a specific research problem.

**Acceptable and Ideal Targets** (not required for indirect measures)

- Minimum score for passing is 60 out of 100 possible points on the rubric.
- Acceptable target: 70% of AEED MS students pass thesis defense
- Ideal target: 100% of AEED MS students score 70 or above on thesis defense

**Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure)

- Jill Rucker, Graduate Coordinator and all faculty advising AEED MS students

**D. Analysis of Results**

Analysis of the rubrics provided by supervising faculty show that the ideal target was met, with 100% of AEED MS students scoring 70 or above on the thesis evaluation rubric (n=3), with scores of 84, 91 and 98 on 100-point scales.

**Recommendations** (not required for indirect measures)

Improving MS thesis student's understanding of the thesis writing process has been an area of focus in the AECT department for several years and has been bolstered by the development of two thesis-writing courses. A research methods course has also long been a requirement in the program. These courses, now with permanent course numbers and titles have now been offered for four consecutive years, and while they appear to be improving student success both in terms of timely thesis completion and thesis writing skill, a revision of these courses is likely needed this year in response to student course evaluation feedback.

Item analysis shows lower scores mostly on concepts in the methodology (sampling and instrumentation) and conclusion/discussion (conclusions relevant to purpose and appropriately uses literature to interpret findings) portions of the written theses. These findings could be clues to concepts that need to be more deeply emphasized in the research methods and thesis writing courses.

### **Action Plan**

The following actions should continue so the department can maintain and continue to improve AEED MS students' retention, completion, and placement:

1. Continue to prepare students to conduct quality research through the departments research methods course, placing a stronger emphasis on instrument development and data collection methods. **(Research methods instructor and all advisors)**
2. Continue to equip students will skill and confidence to complete the thesis on time through the department's thesis writing courses, placing a stronger emphasis in the technical communication course on tying conclusions back to the literature base. **(Miller and all advisors)**

### **Supporting Attachments**

- Appendix A: AECT thesis and dissertation evaluation rubric

## Appendix A: AECT Thesis and Dissertation Rubric

Research Project Grading Rubric

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Title is appropriately representative of project	_____ 3 pts
Chapter 1: Introduction	
Need for the Study (brief, use lit. and/or cite problem in the field)	_____ 3 pts
Statement of the Problem	_____ 3 pts
Overview of Literature	_____ 2 pts
Significance of the Problem	_____ 2 pts
Research Questions (or Objectives or Hypotheses)	_____ 3 pts
Assumptions (which underlie the problem)	_____ 2 pts
Limitations (here or chapter 3)	_____ 2 pts
Chapter 2: Theoretical Framework	
Background of the Problem (from the related literature)	_____ 3 pts
Presentation of the Literature (to address the research questions)	_____ 5 pts
Conclusions from the Literature	_____ 3 pts
Chapter 3: Methods	
Statement of the Problem (same as Chapter 1)	_____ xx
Purpose of the Study	_____ 3 pts
Research Questions / Objectives / Hypotheses (if applicable)	_____ 2 pts
Design of the Study – explained / illustrated	_____ 3 pts
Subjects	_____ xx
Subject Selection	_____ 3 pts
Population / Sample	_____ 3 pts
Sampling Procedure/process	_____ 3 pts
Instrumentation	_____ xx
Instrument Development -- explained	_____ 3 pts
Instrument Validity – how established?	_____ 3 pts
Instrument Reliability – how established?	_____ 3 pts
Treatments (if experimental; or variations among subjects)	_____ 3 pts
Conditions of Testing (if experimental; or variations among subjects)	_____ 2 pts
Procedures for Data Collection	_____ 3 pts
Analysis Plans	_____ 2 pts
Chapter 4: Analyses / Findings	
Analyses are appropriate to the study	_____ 3 pts
Analyses match the purpose/objectives	_____ 3 pts
Analyses are detailed and well-presented	_____ 3 pts
Are the findings appropriately interpreted	_____ 3 pts
Chapter 5: Conclusions / Discussion / Recommendations	
Summary of the findings	_____ 3 pts
Are relevant to the purpose/objectives	_____ 3 pts
Appropriately uses knowledge base / literature to interpret findings	_____ 3 pts
Ties everything together	_____ 3 pts
Identifies strengths and weaknesses of the study	_____ 3 pts
Includes implications for practice	_____ 3 pts
Provides direction for future research	_____ 3 pts

General comments:

Overall student demonstrated an understanding of the research process.

Overall Score:

\_\_\_\_\_ 100 pts



**Appendix A:**  
**Office of Institutional Research MS Retention Report 2010-2019**  
(Compiled by UA Graduate School)

## Master's Student Retention Report (2010-2019)

Program	# in Cohort	Graduated with Cohort Program Degree		Graduated with Another Graduate Program Degree		Still Active/Enrolled in Cohort Program		Still Active/Enrolled in Another Graduate Program		Cohort Dropped Out	
		# of Students	% of Cohort	# of Students	% of Cohort	# of Students	% of Cohort	# of Students	% of Cohort	# of Students	% of Cohort
ACCTMA	540	483	89.44%	2	0.37%	0	0.00%	47	8.70%	8	1.48%
ACCTMP	57	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ADLLME	145	55	37.93%	2	1.38%	37	25.52%	2	1.38%	49	33.79%
<b>AEEDMS</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>49.67%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.66%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>16.56%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.66%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>32.45%</b>
AFLSMS	59	26	44.07%	11	18.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	22	37.29%
AGECMS	215	174	80.93%	2	0.93%	28	13.02%	1	0.47%	10	4.65%
ANSCMS	93	57	61.29%	0	0.00%	14	15.05%	0	0.00%	22	23.66%
ANTHMA	88	67	76.14%	1	1.14%	9	10.23%	0	0.00%	11	12.50%
APBAMA	9	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ARTMFA	89	42	47.19%	0	0.00%	33	37.08%	0	0.00%	14	15.73%

ATTRMA	184	140	76.09%	0	0.00%	37	20.11%	0	0.00%	7	3.80%
BADMMB	1187	872	73.46%	6	0.51%	230	19.38%	5	0.42%	74	6.23%
BENGMS	55	28	50.91%	4	7.27%	11	20.00%	1	1.82%	11	20.00%
BIOLMS	79	46	58.23%	4	5.06%	9	11.39%	6	7.59%	14	17.72%
BMEGMS	51	34	66.67%	3	5.88%	7	13.73%	4	7.84%	3	5.88%
BMENMS	10	1	10.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	10.00%
CATEME	15	11	73.33%	1	6.67%	2	13.33%	0	0.00%	1	6.67%
CCELEME	14	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	1	7.14%
CDISMS	284	222	78.17%	0	0.00%	50	17.61%	0	0.00%	12	4.23%
CEMBMS	98	63	64.29%	4	4.08%	15	15.31%	2	2.04%	14	14.29%
CENGMS	62	38	61.29%	6	9.68%	6	9.68%	4	6.45%	8	12.90%
CHEDMA	453	398	87.86%	35	7.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	20	4.42%
CHEGMS	60	32	53.33%	4	6.67%	12	20.00%	4	6.67%	8	13.33%
CHEMMS	39	21	53.85%	4	10.26%	2	5.13%	3	7.69%	9	23.08%
CHLPMS	73	49	67.12%	4	5.48%	8	10.96%	0	0.00%	12	16.44%
CIEDES	47	18	38.30%	6	12.77%	4	8.51%	2	4.26%	17	36.17%
CIEDME	51	26	50.98%	2	3.92%	6	11.76%	1	1.96%	16	31.37%

CLCSMA	31	13	41.94%	1	3.23%	7	22.58%	0	0.00%	10	32.26%
CNSLMS	307	167	54.40%	7	2.28%	107	34.85%	1	0.33%	25	8.14%
COMMMA	164	108	65.85%	3	1.83%	28	17.07%	3	1.83%	22	13.41%
CRWRMF	129	72	55.81%	0	0.00%	44	34.11%	2	1.55%	11	8.53%
CSECMS	129	89	68.99%	3	2.33%	15	11.63%	3	2.33%	19	14.73%
CSESMS	158	98	62.03%	5	3.16%	21	13.29%	2	1.27%	32	20.25%
CVEGMS	151	111	73.51%	2	1.32%	21	13.91%	0	0.00%	17	11.26%
DRAMMF	34	30	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	11.76%
DSGNMDS	4	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	1	25.00%
ECANMS	11	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ECONMA	39	36	92.31%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	2.56%
EDEQME	75	5	6.67%	0	0.00%	53	70.67%	0	0.00%	17	22.67%
EDLEES	62	34	54.84%	2	3.23%	8	12.90%	1	1.61%	17	27.42%
EDLEME	240	152	63.33%	7	2.92%	43	17.92%	0	0.00%	38	15.83%
EDUCMA	77	48	62.34%	1	1.30%	28	36.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ELEDMA	174	110	63.22%	0	0.00%	52	29.89%	0	0.00%	12	6.90%
ELEGMS	300	193	64.33%	12	4.00%	51	17.00%	4	1.33%	40	13.33%

EMGTMS	110	29	26.36%	7	6.36%	54	49.09%	1	0.91%	19	17.27%
ENDYMS	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
ENEGMS	17	4	23.53%	7	41.18%	2	11.76%	1	5.88%	3	17.65%
ENGLMA	141	88	62.41%	1	0.71%	26	18.44%	0	0.00%	26	18.44%
ENGRME	486	242	49.79%	20	4.12%	66	13.58%	5	1.03%	153	31.48%
ENTOMS	39	28	71.79%	1	2.56%	5	12.82%	0	0.00%	5	12.82%
ESRMMS	6	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%
ETECME	199	107	53.77%	3	1.51%	28	14.07%	0	0.00%	61	30.65%
EXSCMS	6	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
FDSCMS	115	81	70.43%	10	8.70%	10	8.70%	1	0.87%	13	11.30%
FDSFMS	99	29	29.29%	0	0.00%	39	39.39%	0	0.00%	31	31.31%
FINNMS	11	1	9.09%	0	0.00%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
FRENMA	22	15	68.18%	3	13.64%	0	0.00%	1	4.55%	3	13.64%
GEOGMA	66	21	31.82%	15	22.73%	0	0.00%	1	1.52%	29	43.94%
GEOGMS	59	25	42.37%	2	3.39%	14	23.73%	2	3.39%	16	27.12%
GEOLMS	155	100	64.52%	0	0.00%	14	9.03%	0	0.00%	41	26.45%
GERMMA	15	10	66.67%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	26.67%



HESCMS	128	69	53.91%	2	1.56%	13	10.16%	1	0.78%	43	33.59%
HIEDME	286	198	69.23%	10	3.50%	44	15.38%	2	0.70%	32	11.19%
HISTMA	76	36	47.37%	2	2.63%	11	14.47%	0	0.00%	27	35.53%
HLSCMS	12	9	75.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	16.67%
HORTMS	55	31	56.36%	1	1.82%	11	20.00%	0	0.00%	12	21.82%
HRWDME	290	123	42.41%	2	0.69%	63	21.72%	5	1.72%	97	33.45%
INEGMS	122	92	75.41%	5	4.10%	16	13.11%	2	1.64%	7	5.74%
INSYMI	355	261	73.52%	9	2.54%	48	13.52%	6	1.69%	31	8.73%
JOURMA	79	48	60.76%	0	0.00%	13	16.46%	0	0.00%	18	22.78%
KINSMS	160	112	70.00%	11	6.88%	12	7.50%	1	0.63%	24	15.00%
MATEMS	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
MATHMS	109	71	65.14%	10	9.17%	14	12.84%	0	0.00%	14	12.84%
MATSMS	4	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
MEEGME	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
MEEGMS	90	45	50.00%	4	4.44%	11	12.22%	16	17.78%	14	15.56%
MEPHMS	101	70	69.31%	4	3.96%	12	11.88%	6	5.94%	9	8.91%
MLANMA	43	26	60.47%	0	0.00%	10	23.26%	2	4.65%	5	11.63%

MUSCMM	180	129	71.67%	0	0.00%	30	16.67%	0	0.00%	21	11.67%
NURSMS	117	58	49.57%	7	5.98%	9	7.69%	9	7.69%	34	29.06%
OPANMS	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
OPMGMS	3251	1814	55.80%	46	1.41%	438	13.47%	9	0.28%	944	29.04%
PADMMP	100	46	46.00%	7	7.00%	16	16.00%	2	2.00%	29	29.00%
PHEDME	267	158	59.18%	2	0.75%	50	18.73%	2	0.75%	55	20.60%
PHILMA	49	21	42.86%	0	0.00%	9	18.37%	0	0.00%	19	38.78%
PHYSMA	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
PHYSMS	83	32	38.55%	12	14.46%	10	12.05%	17	20.48%	12	14.46%
PLPAMS	41	27	65.85%	2	4.88%	5	12.20%	0	0.00%	7	17.07%
PLSCMA	87	50	57.47%	5	5.75%	16	18.39%	2	2.30%	14	16.09%
POSCMS	85	49	57.65%	10	11.76%	11	12.94%	2	2.35%	13	15.29%
PSYCMA	77	53	68.83%	7	9.09%	0	0.00%	13	16.88%	4	5.19%
RECRME	3	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
RESMME	343	219	63.85%	9	2.62%	48	13.99%	3	0.87%	64	18.66%
RHABMS	151	111	73.51%	6	3.97%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	34	22.52%
SCMTMS	12	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

SCWKMS	340	270	79.41%	2	0.59%	53	15.59%	2	0.59%	13	3.82%
SEEDMA	315	301	95.56%	3	0.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	11	3.49%
SEEDME	45	25	55.56%	6	13.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	14	31.11%
SMTHMA	36	15	41.67%	0	0.00%	5	13.89%	0	0.00%	16	44.44%
SOCIMA	113	62	54.87%	2	1.77%	21	18.58%	0	0.00%	28	24.78%
SPACMS	12	7	58.33%	0	0.00%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	2	16.67%
SPANMA	79	54	68.35%	2	2.53%	7	8.86%	2	2.53%	14	17.72%
SPEDME	218	100	45.87%	3	1.38%	38	17.43%	2	0.92%	75	34.40%
STANMS	116	62	53.45%	9	7.76%	19	16.38%	13	11.21%	13	11.21%
STATMS	41	23	56.10%	14	34.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	9.76%
TESLME	45	21	46.67%	1	2.22%	10	22.22%	2	4.44%	11	24.44%
THTRMF	54	28	51.85%	0	0.00%	22	40.74%	1	1.85%	3	5.56%
WDEDME	112	31	27.68%	45	40.18%	0	0.00%	2	1.79%	34	30.36%
<b>Over-all</b>	<b>16129</b>	<b>9986</b>	<b>51.02%</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>6.28%</b>	<b>2504</b>	<b>22.26%</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>2.84%</b>	<b>2911</b>	<b>17.59%</b>

**Appendix B:**  
**Placement of AEED MS Graduates 2020-2021**

Mary Samoei Su 2020 Not located

Sarah Bagley Sp 2020 Job with NY Extension Service

Sarah Gregory Su 2020 Job with Pennsylvania Extension Service

Olivia Foster Su 20 Job with Arkansas Extension

Austin Wise Su 20 Graduate School

Kristina Bautista Su 20 Science Teacher in Texas

Brad Borges Fa 20 Teaching at Texas University

Bayleah Cooper Fa 20 Job with Experiment Station in South Carolina

Anika Parks – Sp 21 Intern in North Carolina

Alex McLeod – Sp 21 Graduate School in Auburn

Becky Sterner – Sp 21 Job with Arkansas Extension Service