# M.S. Academic Assessment Plan Department of Horticulture University of Arkansas March 2016

**Contact Information:** Department of Horticulture, Dr. Wayne Mackay, Professor and Department Head

**Departmental Mission:** The mission of the Department of Horticulture is to conduct applied and basic research to support and enhance the Arkansas horticultural industries; and to conduct high quality teaching and student research programs leading to BSA, MS, and PhD degrees.

#### **Program Goals:**

(Program goals are broad general statements of what the program intends to accomplish and describes what a student will be able to do after completing the program. The program goals are linked to the mission of the university and college.)

- **1.** Graduates have the discipline-specific knowledge in horticultural and turf sciences required to perform successfully in appropriate-level private, government, or academic positions.
- **2.** Graduates are able to critically analyze, synthesize, and evaluate new information to make informed decisions.
- **3.** Graduates have the ability to solve complex, multidisciplinary problems.
- **4.** Graduates are able to prepare and synthesize information to effectively communicate, both orally and in writing, with technical or scientific and non-technical audiences.
- **5.** Graduates have expertise in research and analytical skills to conduct thesis research to contribute to the advancement of science.

#### **Student Learning Outcomes**

(Student Learning Outcomes are defined in terms of the knowledge, skills, and abilities that students will know and be able to do as a result of completing a program. These student learning outcomes are directly linked to the accomplishment of the program goals.)

- **1.** Students will demonstrate the appropriate depth and breadth of discipline specific knowledge required to function as horticultural or turf science professionals.
- **2.** Students will demonstrate the ability to critically evaluate situations or scenarios to arrive at well thought out and supported decisions and outcomes.
- **3.** Students will demonstrate the ability to work through and solve complex, multidisciplinary problems.
- 4. Communication skills
  - a. Students will demonstrate the skills required to effectively communicate technical/scientific information in oral platforms to general and professional audiences.
  - b. Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate, organize, and effectively present written reports of technical/scientific information to general and

professional audiences.

**5.** Students will contribute to the advancement of science by acquiring research and analytical skills (e.g. conceptual, statistics, laboratory or field skills, etc.) to fulfill project requirements.

#### **Assessment Measure for Outcome 1**

- Achievement will be measured at the completion of a student's program during the thesis defense, scored using a rubric.
- This is a *direct* measure of student learning.
- Depth and breadth of discipline specific knowledge learned will be assessed through oral questions posed by a thesis examination committee. The length of the defense and number and type of questions will be subject to the committee's discretion based on the student's background and research focus and responses to questions.
- The rubric used for scoring is attached to this assessment plan.

#### **Acceptable** and **Ideal Targets** (<u>not required</u> for indirect measures).

- Acceptable: 70% of M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.
- Ideal: All of the M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.

#### **Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure).

• Graduate advisory / thesis examination committee is the responsible party.

#### **Assessment Measure for Outcome 2**

- Achievement will be measured at the completion of a student's program during the thesis defense, scored using a rubric.
- This is a *direct* measure of student learning.
- Ability to think critically will be evaluated through oral questions posed by a thesis
  examination committee. The length of the defense and number and type of issues and
  scenarios posed to the student to evaluate critical thinking ability will be subject to the
  committee's discretion based on the student's background and research focus and
  responses to questions.
- The rubric used for scoring is attached to this assessment plan.

#### **Acceptable** and **Ideal Targets** (not required for indirect measures).

- Acceptable: 70% of M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.
- Ideal: All of the M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.

#### **Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure).

Graduate advisory / thesis examination committee is the responsible party.

#### **Assessment Measure for Outcome 3**

- Achievement will be measured at the completion of a student's program during the thesis defense, scored using a rubric.
- This is a *direct* measure of student learning.
- Ability to think logically and progressively through multiple dimensions of a complex scenario or issue to solve problems will be evaluated through oral questions posed by a thesis examination committee. The length of the defense and number and type of issues and scenarios posed to the student to evaluate problem solving ability will be subject to the committee's discretion based on the student's background and research focus and responses to questions.
- The rubric used for scoring is attached to this assessment plan.

#### Acceptable and Ideal Targets (not required for indirect measures).

- Acceptable: 70% of M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.
- Ideal: All of the M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.

#### **Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure).

• Graduate advisory / thesis examination committee is the responsible party.

#### **Assessment Measure for Outcome 4a**

- Achievement will be measured at the completion of a student's program during the thesis defense, scored using a rubric.
- This is a direct measure of student learning.
- Effective oral communication will be evaluated during a presentation and question and answer period during the thesis defense. The thesis advisory / examination committee will evaluate the delivery of presentation, effectiveness of visual aids, and quality and organization of content. The committee will also ask questions following the presentation. The length of the question and answer period (number and type of questions posed to the student) will be subject to the committee's discretion based on the student's background and research focus, presentation provided by the student, and responses to questions.
- The rubric used for scoring is attached to this assessment plan.

#### **Acceptable** and **Ideal Targets** (<u>not required</u> for indirect measures).

- Acceptable: 70% of M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.
- Ideal: All of the M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.

#### **Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure).

• Graduate advisory / thesis examination committee along with the seminar instructor are the responsible parties.

#### **Assessment Measure for Outcome 4b**

- Achievement will be measured at the completion of a student's program during the thesis defense, scored using a rubric.
- This is a *direct* measure of student learning.
- Effective written communication skills will be evaluated through the written thesis. The thesis advisory / examination committee will evaluate the quality and organization of content, quality of references, style, and adherence to convention in writing, attention to detail, and overall effectiveness and credibility in delivery.
- The rubric used for scoring is attached to this assessment plan.

#### **Acceptable** and **Ideal Targets** (<u>not required</u> for indirect measures).

- Acceptable: 70% of M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.
- Ideal: All of the M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.

#### **Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure).

Graduate advisory / thesis examination committee is the responsible party.

#### **Assessment Measure for Outcome 5**

- Achievement will be measured at the completion of a student's program during the thesis defense, scored using a rubric.
- This is a *direct* measure of student learning.
- The thesis advisory / examination committee will evaluate the quality of research and contribution of the scholarship to the advancement of science and the initiative, independence and quality of the student skills development in completion of the research through oral questioning in the thesis defense and reading of the written thesis. The length of the defense and number and type of questions will be subject to the committee's discretion based on the student's background and research focus and responses to questions.
- The rubric used for scoring is attached to this assessment plan.

#### **Acceptable** and **Ideal Targets** (<u>not required</u> for indirect measures).

- Acceptable: 70% of M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.
- Ideal: All of the M.S. students defending their thesis will score "proficient" or greater.

#### **Key Personnel** (who is responsible for the assessment of this measure).

• Graduate advisory / thesis examination committee is the responsible party.

## Department of Horticulture Thesis/Dissertation Defense Performance Assessment Rubric

#### **Student Learning Outcomes**

To assist with program assessment, in which of the following student learning outcomes did the student demonstrate proficiency? Mark performance on a scale of 1 (not prepared, unskilled) to 4 (advanced, mastery of skill) in each Learning outcome box.

Learning	4	3	2	1
outcome	Advanced/Mastery	Proficient/Adequate	Developing/Beginning	Unprepared/Unskilled
Depth and breadth of discipline related knowledge	Shows higher levels of learning - Clearly explains key concepts and principles; Understands current, relevant literature, and gaps in science; apply concepts to analyze new situations; demonstrates mastery of technical, statistical and/or relevant computer skills	Understands and applies key concepts and principles; Understands current, relevant literature; Collects, summarizes, correctly analyzes data; demonstrates competency of technical, statistical and/or computer skills relevant to discipline	Understands and applies key concepts and principles; some understanding of relevant literature; demonstrates adequate use of some technical, statistical and/or computer skills relevant to discipline	Incomplete and uncomprehensive knowledge of basics principles and ability to apply principle and concepts; demonstrates incomplete or unrefined use of technical, statistical and/or computer skills relevant to discipline
Critical thinking	Clearly and comprehensively states issue/problem. Thoroughly reviews literature and interprets data to evaluate scenarios and create solutions to new problems. Systematically and methodically analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates relevance of contexts and limitations of a position. Thesis is imaginative, multidimensional, and conclusions are logical and reflect informed evaluation.	Issue/problem is stated, described, and clarified critically, so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions. nterpretation/evaluation is supported with evidence from the literature, but literature and experts are subject to questioning. Identifies own and others' assumptions, relevant contexts when presenting a position. Conclusions are logical and related to outcomes.	Issue/problem is stated critically, but is incompletely defined or explored. Literature review is incomplete, and there is little questioning of experts and assumptions. Acknowledges different sides of an issue. Conclusion is logically tied to information but is unidimensional and related to only some of the outcomes.	Unclear or ill-described issue/problem. Information is collected without interpretation or evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are not questioned. Shows emerging awareness of assumptions. Simple and obvious position. Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes are oversimplified.

#### **Problem solving**

Constructs clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of all relevant contextual factors. Proposes one or more hypotheses and tackles problem with multiple approaches. Sensitive to ethical, logical, historical, and cultural dimensions of the problem. Deep and elegant, thorough and insightful, logical explanations. Examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impacts of solution, and considers need for further work.

Constructs a problem statement with adequate detail and evidence of most relevant contextual factors. Identifies multiple approaches for problem solving, some of which apply within a specific context. Comprehends the problem. Sensitive to ethical, logical, historical, and cultural considerations. Evaluation of solutions is adequate, and examines feasibility of solution, weighs impacts of solution, and considers some of the needs for further work.

Superficial problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors. Identifies a single, "off the shelf" approach for solving the problem that does apply within a specific context. Evaluation of solution(s) is brief but includes history of problem, logic/reasoning, solution feasibility, and impacts of solution. Addresses the problem, but ignores relevant contextual factors and need for further work.

Limited ability to define a problem statement, related contextual factors, or specific or relevant solutions Superficial evaluation and/or irrelevant implementation of solutions that does not directly address the problem statement or consideration of need for further work.

### Communication skills - oral

Clearly organized, cohesive content. Imaginative, memorable, and compelling. Presentation enhances effectiveness. Delivered at appropriate level. Polished delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness). Confident speaker. Variety of supporting materials reference information or analysis that significantly supports the presentation or establishes credibility or authority. Central message is compelling (precise, appropriate, memorable, and strongly supported.)

Clear and consistent organization. Thoughtful and effective presentation. Delivered at appropriate level. Quality in delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness. Supporting materials reference information or analysis that generally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility. Central message is clear and consistent with the supporting material.

Intermittently observable organizational pattern.
Mundane language partially supports the presentation effectiveness. Delivery techniques (posture, gesture, eye contact, and vocal expressiveness) make the presentation understandable. Supporting materials partially supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic. Central message is basically understandable.

Organizational pattern is not observable. Unclear language. Presentation is not appropriate to audience. Delivery detracts from the understandability of the presentation, and is uncomfortable. Insufficient supporting materials make reference to information or analysis that minimally supports the presentation or establishes the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic. Central message can be deduced, but is not explicitly stated in the presentation.

Communication	Demonstrates a thorough	Demonstrates adequate	Demonstrates awareness of	Demonstrates minimal
skills - written	understanding of context,	consideration of context,	context, audience, purpose,	attention to context,
	audience, and purpose that is	audience, and purpose and a	and to the assigned tasks(s).	audience, purpose, and to the
	responsive to the assigned task(s)	clear focus on the assigned	Appropriate and relevant	assigned tasks(s). Uses
	and focused. Appropriate,	task(s). Appropriate, relevant,	content develops and	appropriate and relevant
	relevant, and compelling content	and compelling content explores	explores ideas through most	content to develop simple
	illustrates mastery of the subject.	ideas. Organized. Credible,	of the work. Basic	ideas in some parts of the
	Detailed attention to and	relevant sources to support	organization. Use of credible	work. Attempts to use a
	successful execution of	ideas. Uses straightforward	and/or relevant sources to	consistent system for basic
	organization, content,	language that generally conveys	support ideas. Generally	organization and
	presentation, formatting, and	meaning to readers. Few errors.	conveys meaning, although	presentation. Attempts to use
	stylistic choices. Skillful use of		writing may include some	sources to support ideas in
	high-quality, credible, relevant		errors.	the writing. Language and
	sources to develop ideas. Clear,			errors sometimes impede
	fluent, and virtually error-free.			meaning.
Expertise in	Work contributes to	Work adds to database of	Work adds to database of	Work does not advance
Research &	advancement of science; adds	scientific knowledge by	knowledge but does not	science; work needs
Analytical Skills	new contribution to science;	confirming or clarifying previous	advance science; student	supervision and review to
	Student masters necessary skills	results; student works with	completes some tasks	proceed.
	(e.g. conceptual, statistics,	minimal guidance. Student is	independently. Student is	
	laboratory or field skills, etc.) for	proficient in skills (e.g.	proficient in some skills (e.g.	
	comprehensive project	conceptual, statistics, laboratory	conceptual, statistics,	
	completion.	or field skills, etc.) for project	laboratory or field skills, etc.)	
		completion.	necessary for project	
			completion.	

#### Other

Please include any comments you have regarding assessment of this graduate student's achievement towards student learner outcomes, or in a of the HORT graduate student program.	ssessment

## Department of Horticulture Thesis/Dissertation Defense Performance Assessment Rubric

Defending Graduate Studen	t		
Major Advisor			
Degree	M.S.		
Date of defense			
Student Learning Outcomes		Score using HORT	Graduate SLO Rubric
1. Depth & breadth of	discipline related knowledge	<del></del>	<del></del>
2. Critical thinking			<del></del>
3. Problem solving			
4a. Communication skill	s – oral		
4b. Communication skill	s – written		
5. Expertise in research	and analytical skills		

#### **Summary of Findings – Academic Year 2015-16:**

This is the first year of using the newly created assessment and there was only one student who completed their M.S. degree in this time frame and has an assessment completed by the committee. As a result, although the one student exhibited knowledge and skills that at the least demonstrated proficiency and in some cases mastery (see Table above) in each of the five student learning outcomes at their defense and in their thesis, there are no comparisons that can made at this time to other student results.

#### **Recommendations:**

Since this is the first year of collecting data for the newly implemented assessment, it is the recommendation of the department head and the assessment team faculty that we collect data for several years before making any conclusions about the need for changes to the current M.S. program.