Annual Academic Assessment Report

(MS/POULTRY SCIENCE)

(MAY 14, 2021)

Report annually to the Dean of the college/school the following:

• Results of analysis of assessment of Student Learning Outcome

Assessement 1: Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

Measure 1: Coursework grades. 100% of students earned B or better in coursework.
Measure 2a: Written thesis. 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric.
Measure 2b: Oral communication (defense). 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric. 100% of students passed thesis defense.
Measure 3: Exit interview. No formal exit interviews were given this year due to COVID.

• Any changes to degree/certificate planned or made on the basis of the assessment and analysis.

No changes to report at this time. Few students graduated within the past year. However, the graduate curriculum committee and remaining faculty will hold discussions regarding potential core classes within the POSC curriculum.

• Any changes to the assessment process made or planned.

Rubrics for oral and written communication will be used by all committees for thesis review and student defenses. Assessment plan will be revised for 2021-2022.

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE _____

Rubric – Completed by: _____

Date: _____

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear	Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills	Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
	Presentation is narrow in scope No application to Poultry Science	 Presentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplines Application to Poultry Science evident 	 Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend know- ledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear appli- cation to Poultry Science
Quality of response to questions	 Responses are incomplete or required prompting Arguments are poorly presented Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area Responses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student does not realize the connection of research to poultry science 	knowledge in subject area	 Responses are eloquent Arguments are skillfully presented Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area Responses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research to poultry science
Overall assessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Confidential Com	iments:		

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material

Rubric – Completed by: _____

Date: _____

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

explanation for each attribute select in this category	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
s are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined
ated rudimentary critical	Demonstrates average critical	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
skills	thinking skills	Fullikite weeten, of subject weeten and
eflect understanding of	Reflects understanding of	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature
natter and associated	theoretical concepts Demonstrates understanding of	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical
ates poor understanding	theoretical concepts	concepts
etical	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
ates limited originality	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and
mited creativity and		insight
ince creativity and		
idence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
pansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research
	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied
eoretical or applied	significance	significance
nce	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
Iblication impact		
n of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of
oproach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or innovative	innovative
pretation is inappropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate and
ses incorrect methodology;	and uses limited number of correct methodology;	creatively uses correct methodology;
no weakness in	Identifies some weaknesses in	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;
tation	interpretation	
	Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability
ates a lack of ability to	articulate a critical response in	articulate a critical response to one's
a critical response in	in one's own work or that of	own work or that of other research
n work or that of other	other research in the field	in the field
in the field		
weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality
grammatical and spelling	Some grammatical and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors
:	errors apparent	apparent
on is poor	Organization is logical	Organization is excellent
ation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent
neet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
r	neet expectations	neet expectationsMeets Expectations