Annual Academic Assessment Report

(MS/POULTRY SCIENCE)

(MAY 20, 2022)

Report annually to the Dean of the college/school the following:

Results of analysis of assessment of Student Learning Outcome

Assessement 1: Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

Measure 1: Coursework grades. 100% of completing students earned an average of B or better in overall coursework (GPA > 3.0)

Measure 2a: Written thesis. 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below).

Measure 2b: Oral communication (defense). 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below). 100% of students passed thesis defense.

Measure 3: Exit interview. No formal exit interviews were given this year.

 Any changes to degree/certificate planned or made on the basis of the assessment and analysis.

No changes to report at this time. Few students graduated within the past year. However, the graduate curriculum committee and remaining faculty will hold discussions regarding potential core classes within the POSC curriculum.

Any changes to the assessment process made or planned.

Rubrics for oral and written communication will be used by all committees for thesis review and student defenses. Assessment plan will be revised for 2022-2023.

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE						
Rubric – Comp	leted by:	Date: _	Date:			
(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)						
Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations			
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organizedClear presentationGood communication skillsSlides and handouts clear	Well organizedProfessional presentationExcellent communication skillsSlides and handouts outstanding			
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills Presentation is narrow in scope No application to Poultry Science	Presentation acceptablePresentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matterPresentation reveals above average critical thinking skillsPresentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplinesApplication to Poultry Science evident	Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science			
Quality of response to questions	Responses are incomplete or required promptingArguments are poorly presentedRespondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject areaResponses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate	knowledge in subject area	Responses are eloquentArguments are skillfully presentedRespondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject areaResponses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate			

graduate (MS or PhD)

_Meets Expectations

Student adequately connects

research to poultry science

_Student is able to discuss in depth

the connection of thesis research

(MS or PhD)

to poultry science

_Exceeds Expectations

Confidential Comments:

Overall assessment (MS or PhD)

science

Student does not realize the

____Does not meet expectations

connection of research to poultry

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material _		
Rubric – Completed by:		Date:
/T- h	Discount and another design of the form of the form	

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
WRITTEN	`Provide a short explanation for each attribute	·	·
	that you select in this category		
Overall quality	Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
of science	or flawed		
	Objectives are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrated rudimentary critical	Demonstrates average critical	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	thinking skills	thinking skills	Full-like was shown of subject weather and
	Does not reflect understanding of	Reflects understanding of	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and
	Subject matter and associated literature	theoretical conceptsDemonstrates understanding of	associated literature Demonstrates mastery of theoretical
	Demonstrates poor understanding	theoretical concepts	concepts
	of theoretical	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
	Demonstrates limited originality	Demonstrates originalityDisplays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and
	Displays limited creativity and	Displays creativity and misight	insight
	insight		moigne
	magne		
Contribution	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
to	Limited expansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research
discipline	research	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied
discipinie	Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance
	significance	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
	Limited publication impact		
Experimental	Duplication of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of
design imple-	Design/approach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or	innovative
mentation	B	innovative	
and	Data interpretation is inappropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate and
interpretation	and/or uses incorrect methodology;	and uses limited number of correct methodology;	creatively uses correct methodology;
	Identifies no weakness in	Identifies some weaknesses in	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;
	interpretation	interpretation	
	•	Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability
	Demonstrates a lack of ability to	articulate a critical response in	articulate a critical response to one's
	articulate a critical response in	in one's own work or that of	own work or that of other research
	one's own work or that of other	other research in the field	in the field
	research in the field		
Quality of	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality
writing	Numerous grammatical and spelling	Some grammatical and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors
	errors	errors apparent	apparent
	Organization is poor	Organization is logical	Organization is excellent
	Documentation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent
Overall	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
assessment			
Confidential Co	mments:		1
Communical Co	iiiiiciiiG.		