Annual Academic Assessment Report

(MS/POULTRY SCIENCE)

(MAY 23, 2023)

Report annually to the Dean of the college/school the following:

Results of analysis of assessment of Student Learning Outcome

Assessment 1: Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

Measure 1: Coursework grades. 100% of completing students earned an average of B or better in overall coursework (GPA > 3.0)

Measure 2a: Written thesis. 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) with average scores of 2.7 out of 3.

Measure 2b: Oral communication (defense). 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) with average scores of 2.8 out of 3. 100% of students passed thesis defense.

Measure 3: Exit interview.

Table 1. Graduate student Exit Survey: Student Self-Assessment of Core Competencies

Competence Area	Spring 2023
Technical Competency	4.4
Environmental Awareness	4.2
Ethical Responsibility	4.4
Leadership Ability	4.4
Oral Communication	4.2
Written Communication	4.2
Critical Thinking / Problem Solving	4.4
Basic / Applied Research Understanding	4.6
Creativity	4.0
Writing / Presenting Scientific Information	4.4

¹n=5 respondents

Scale: 1=does not meet expectations, 2=needs improvement, 3=meets expectations 4=above average, 5=exceeds expectations

Self-assessment by recent graduates receiving a M.S. in Poultry Science from our department ranked their competency in these 10 interpersonal or communication skills between "above average" and "exceeds expectations" ratings.

Any changes to degree/certificate planned or made on the basis of the assessment and analysis

No changes to report at this time

Any changes to the assessment process made or planned

No changes at this time.

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE						
Rubric – Comp	leted by:	Date: _				
(To be completed by	each committee member & reader. Please check	each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropr	iate within each attribute category)			
Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations			
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear	Well organizedProfessional presentationExcellent communication skillsSlides and handouts outstanding			
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptablePresentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matterPresentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skillsPresentation is narrow in scopeNo application to Poultry Science	Presentation acceptablePresentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matterPresentation reveals above average critical thinking skillsPresentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplinesApplication to Poultry Science evident	Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science			
Quality of response to questions	Responses are incomplete or required promptingArguments are poorly presentedRespondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject areaResponses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student does not realize the	Responses are complete Arguments are well organizedRespondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject areaResponses meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student adequately connects	Responses are eloquent Arguments are skillfully presented Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area Responses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student is able to discuss in depth			

research to poultry science

___Meets Expectations

the connection of thesis research

to poultry science

_Exceeds Expectations

Confidential Comments:

Overall assessment

connection of research to poultry

___Does not meet expectations

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material	 -
Rubric – Completed by:	 Date:

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
WRITTEN	`Provide a short explanation for each attribute		
Overall quality	that you select in this category Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
	or flawed	Arguments are conferent and clear	Aiguments are superior
of science	Objectives are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrated rudimentary critical	Demonstrates average critical	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	thinking skills	thinking skills	
	Does not reflect understanding of	Reflects understanding of	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and
	Subject matter and associated	theoretical concepts	associated literature
	literature	Demonstrates understanding of	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical
	Demonstrates poor understanding	theoretical concepts	concepts
	of theoretical	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
	Demonstrates limited originality	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and
	Displays limited creativity and		insight
	insight		
Contribution	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
to	Limited expansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research
discipline	research	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied
discipilite	Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance
	significance	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
	Limited publication impact		
Experimental	Duplication of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of
design imple-	Design/approach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or	innovative
mentation		innovative	
and	Data interpretation is inappropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate and
interpretation	and/or uses incorrect methodology;	and uses limited number of correct methodology;	creatively uses correct methodology;
	Identifies no weakness in	Identifies some weaknesses in	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;
	interpretation	interpretation	
	Demonstrates a last of ability to	Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability
	Demonstrates a lack of ability to	articulate a critical response in in one's own work or that of	articulate a critical response to one's own work or that of other research
	articulate a critical response in one's own work or that of other	other research in the field	in the field
	research in the field	other research in the neta	in the field
Quality of	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality
writing	Numerous grammatical and spelling	Some grammatical and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors
· ·	errors	errors apparent	apparent
	Organization is poor	Organization is logical	Organization is excellent
	Documentation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent
Overall	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
assessment	boes not meet expectations	iweets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Confidential Co	mments:		1
Connidential Co	iiiiieiits.		