Program Assessment Report Graduate Program Department of Poultry Science M.S. May 2019

A. The goal of the poultry science curriculum is to promote a fundamental understanding of poultry science across sub-disciplines, with an emphasis on the scientific basis underlying the poultry industry.

B. **Overall Expected Outcomes**

 Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every graduate committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. As a direct measure, students are expected to score a (C) or better on all attempted coursework.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

a. It is expected that 75% of our students will complete their program without scoring lower than a (C) on any of their suggested coursework.

3) Key Personnel

a. Patrice Sims will be responsible for monitoring this outcome and preparing findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students scoring below a (C) will be plotted against those above a (C). This data will be updated every semester and presented once a year in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

- a. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.
- Although M.S. graduates are not expected to function as independent researchers, they will be able to organize, analyze,

communicate and apply technical information. Therefore, graduates will be able to communicate effectively in both oral and written form. The ability to communicate findings to a wide range of audiences is deemed essential.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every graduate committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. Students are required to write a thesis for review from their graduate committee. The committee will score the written thesis using the attached rubric for evaluation of written work.
- c. Students are required to participate in an oral defense of the thesis for review by their graduate committee. The committee will score the oral presentation using the attached rubric for evaluation of oral presentations.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

- a. It is expected that 90% of all students successfully complete their written master's thesis and thesis defense.
- b. It is expected that 80% of thesis will meet expectations based on attached rubric for written thesis.
- c. It is expected that 80% of thesis defenses will meet expectations as defined by the attached rubric for thesis defense.

3) Key Personnel

 The chair of the departmental graduate student committee (Dr. John Marcy) will be responsible for summarizing these results and preparing findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students failing to complete the written and oral defense of the thesis will be plotted. Once enough data is accumulated it will be presented as a 3 year rolling mean. This data will be updated once a year and presented in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

- a. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.
- Masters students must complete an exit interview with the Poultry Science Department Head.

1) Assessment Measure

Indirect, subjective measure of student experience.

6) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

N/A

7) Key Personnel

The Department head (Dr. Michael Kidd) will be responsible for interviewing the Master's students.

8) Summary of Findings

General summary of student concerns and successes will be presented once a year at a faculty meeting. It is at this time that interpretation and discussion will occur.

9) Recommendations

Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

Program Assessment Report Graduate Program Department of Poultry Science Ph.D. May 2019

A. The goal of the poultry science PhD is to promote a fundamental understanding of poultry science across sub-disciplines, with an emphasis on the scientific basis underlying the poultry industry.

B. Overall Expected Outcomes

 Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations. The PhD is generally a research degree that is not heavily weighted by additional course material.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every graduate committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. Students are required to take a qualifying exam that is for review by their graduate committee. The committee will score the written thesis using the attached rubric for evaluation of written work.
- c. Students are required to participate in an oral qualifying exam for review by their graduate committee. The committee will score the oral qualifying exam using the attached rubric for evaluation of oral presentations

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

- a. It is expected that 80% of our students will complete their written qualifying exams with a score of meets expectations
- b. It is expected that 80% of our students will complete the oral portion of their qualifying exams with a score of meets expectations

3) Key Personnel

a. The chair of the departmental graduate student committee (Dr. John Marcy) will be responsible for monitoring this outcome and preparing findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students failing to complete the written and oral qualifying exams will be plotted. Once enough data is accumulated it will be presented as a 3 year rolling mean This data will be updated once a year and presented in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

- i. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.
- Although PhD graduates are not expected to function as independent researchers, they will be able to organize, analyze, communicate and apply technical information. Therefore, graduates will be able to communicate effectively in both oral and written form. The ability to communicate findings to a wide range of audiences is deemed essential.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every PhD committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. Students are required to write a dissertation for review from their graduate committee. The committee will score the written thesis using the attached rubric for evaluation of written work.
- Students are required to participate in an oral defense of the dissertation for review by their graduate committee.
 The committee will score the oral presentation using the attached rubric for evaluation of oral presentations.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

- a. It is expected that 90% of all students successfully complete their written dissertation and dissertation defense.
- b. It is expected that 80% of thesis will meet expectations based on attached rubric for written dissertation.
- c. It is expected that 80% of dissertation defenses will meet expectations as defined by the attached rubric for dissertation defense.

3) Key Personnel

a. The faculty committee member and Patrice Sims will be responsible for summarizing these results and preparing the findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students failing to complete the written and oral defense of the thesis will be plotted. Once enough data is accumulated it will be presented as a 3 year rolling mean.. This data will be updated once a year and presented in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

a. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

 PhD students must complete an exit interview with the Poultry Science Department Head.

1) Assessment Measure

Indirect, subjective measure of student experience.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

N/A

3) Key Personnel

The Department head (Dr. Michael Kidd) will be responsible for interviewing the PhD students.

4) Summary of Findings

General summary of student concerns and successes will be presented once a year at a faculty meeting. It is at this time that interpretation and discussion will occur.

5) Recommendations

Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

assessment

Confidential Comments:

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE Rubric – Completed by: Date: (To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)					
Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations		
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organizedClear presentationGood communication skillsSlides and handouts clear	Well organizedProfessional presentationExcellent communication skillsSlides and handouts outstanding		
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation acceptablePresentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge		
	Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills		
	Presentation is narrow in scopeNo application to Poultry Science	Presentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplinesApplication to Poultry Science evident	 Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science 		
Quality of	Responses are incomplete or required prompting	Responses are complete	Responses are eloquent		
response to questions	Arguments are poorly presentedRespondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject areaResponses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student does not realize the connection of research to poultry science	knowledge in subject area	Arguments are skillfully presentedRespondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject areaResponses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research to poultry science		
Overall	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations		

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material _	
Rubric – Completed by:	Date:
(To be completed by each committee member & reader	Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
WRITTEN	`Provide a short explanation for each attribute	·	·
	that you select in this category		
Overall quality	Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
of science	or flawed		
	Objectives are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrated rudimentary critical	Demonstrates average critical	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	thinking skills	thinking skills	Fullibite mageton, of subject meeting and
	Does not reflect understanding of	Reflects understanding of	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and
	Subject matter and associated literature	theoretical conceptsDemonstrates understanding of	associated literature Demonstrates mastery of theoretical
	Demonstrates poor understanding	theoretical concepts	concepts
	of theoretical	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
	Demonstrates limited originality	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and
	Displays limited creativity and	Displays creativity and misight	insight
	insight		ms.g.nc
Contribution	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
to	Limited expansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research
discipline	research	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied
alscipilite	Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance
	significance	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
	Limited publication impact		
Experimental	Duplication of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of
design imple-	Design/approach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or	innovative
mentation	B	innovative	
and	Data interpretation is inappropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate and
interpretation	and/or uses incorrect methodology;	and uses limited number of correct methodology;	creatively uses correct methodology;
	Identifies no weakness in	Identifies some weaknesses in	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;
	interpretation	interpretation	
	·	Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability
	Demonstrates a lack of ability to	articulate a critical response in	articulate a critical response to one's
	articulate a critical response in	in one's own work or that of	own work or that of other research
	one's own work or that of other	other research in the field	in the field
	research in the field		
Quality of	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality
writing	Numerous grammatical and spelling	Some grammatical and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors
	errors	errors apparent	apparent
	Organization is poor	Organization is logical	Organization is excellent
	Documentation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent
Overall	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
assessment			
Confidential Co	mments:	<u> </u>	L
Communication Co	iiiiiciiiG.		

Department of Poultry Science AY 2018-2019