Program Assessment Report Graduate Program Department of Poultry Science M.S. May 2020

A. The goal of the poultry science curriculum is to promote a fundamental understanding of poultry science across sub-disciplines, with an emphasis on the scientific basis underlying the poultry industry.

B. **Overall Expected Outcomes**

 Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every graduate committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. As a direct measure, students are expected to score a (C) or better on all attempted coursework.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

a. It is expected that 75% of our students will complete their program without scoring lower than a (C) on any of their suggested coursework.

3) Key Personnel

a. John Marcy will be responsible for monitoring this outcome and preparing findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students scoring below a (C) will be plotted against those above a (C). This data will be updated every semester and presented once a year in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

- a. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.
- Although M.S. graduates are not expected to function as independent researchers, they will be able to organize, analyze,

communicate and apply technical information. Therefore, graduates will be able to communicate effectively in both oral and written form. The ability to communicate findings to a wide range of audiences is deemed essential.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every graduate committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. Students are required to write a thesis for review from their graduate committee. The committee will score the written thesis using the attached rubric for evaluation of written work.
- c. Students are required to participate in an oral defense of the thesis for review by their graduate committee. The committee will score the oral presentation using the attached rubric for evaluation of oral presentations.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

- a. It is expected that 90% of all students successfully complete their written master's thesis and thesis defense.
- b. It is expected that 80% of thesis will meet expectations based on attached rubric for written thesis.
- c. It is expected that 80% of thesis defenses will meet expectations as defined by the attached rubric for thesis defense.

3) Key Personnel

 The chair of the departmental graduate student committee (Dr. John Marcy) will be responsible for summarizing these results and preparing findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students failing to complete the written and oral defense of the thesis will be plotted. Once enough data is accumulated it will be presented as a 3 year rolling mean This data will be updated once a year and presented in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

a. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

 MS students must complete an exit interview with the Poultry Science Department Head.

1) Assessment Measure

Indirect, subjective measure of student experience.

6) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

N/A

7) Key Personnel

The department head (Dr. David Caldwell) will be responsible for interviewing the Master's students.

8) Summary of Findings

General summary of student concerns and successes will be presented once a year at a faculty meeting. It is at this time that interpretation and discussion will occur.

9) Recommendations

Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

Program Assessment Report Graduate Program Department of Poultry Science Ph.D. May 2020

A. The goal of the poultry science PhD is to promote a fundamental understanding of poultry science across sub-disciplines, with an emphasis on the scientific basis underlying the poultry industry.

B. Overall Expected Outcomes

 Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations. The PhD is generally a research degree that is not heavily weighted by additional course material.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every graduate committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. Students are required to take a qualifying exam that is for review by their graduate committee. The committee will score the written thesis using the attached rubric for evaluation of written work.
- c. Students are required to participate in an oral qualifying exam for review by their graduate committee. The committee will score the oral qualifying exam using the attached rubric for evaluation of oral presentations

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

- a. It is expected that 80% of our students will complete their written qualifying exams with a score of meets expectations
- b. It is expected that 80% of our students will complete the oral portion of their qualifying exams with a score of meets expectations

3) Key Personnel

a. The chair of the departmental graduate student committee (Dr. John Marcy) will be responsible for monitoring this outcome and preparing findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students failing to complete the written and oral qualifying exams will be plotted. Once enough data is accumulated it will be presented as a 3 year rolling mean This data will be updated once a year and presented in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

- i. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.
- Although PhD graduates are not expected to function as independent researchers, they will be able to organize, analyze, communicate and apply technical information. Therefore, graduates will be able to communicate effectively in both oral and written form. The ability to communicate findings to a wide range of audiences is deemed essential.

1) Assessment Measure

- a. Every PhD committee is different and therefore the requirements and recommendations are as well.
- b. Students are required to write a dissertation for review from their graduate committee. The committee will score the written thesis using the attached rubric for evaluation of written work.
- Students are required to participate in an oral defense of the dissertation for review by their graduate committee.
 The committee will score the oral presentation using the attached rubric for evaluation of oral presentations.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

- a. It is expected that 90% of all students successfully complete their written dissertation and dissertation defense.
- b. It is expected that 80% of thesis will meet expectations based on attached rubric for written dissertation.
- c. It is expected that 80% of dissertation defenses will meet expectations as defined by the attached rubric for dissertation defense.

3) Key Personnel

a. The faculty committee member will be responsible for summarizing these results and preparing the findings.

4) Summary of Findings

a. The percentage of students failing to complete the written and oral defense of the thesis will be plotted. Once enough data is accumulated it will be presented as a 3 year rolling mean. This data will be updated once a year and presented in a departmental faculty meeting for interpretation and discussion.

5) Recommendations

a. Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

 PhD students must complete an exit interview with the Poultry Science Department Head.

1) Assessment Measure

Indirect, subjective measure of student experience.

2) Acceptable and Ideal Targets

N/A

3) Key Personnel

The Department head (Dr. David Caldwell) will be responsible for interviewing the PhD students.

4) Summary of Findings

General summary of student concerns and successes will be presented once a year at a faculty meeting. It is at this time that interpretation and discussion will occur.

5) Recommendations

Any recommendations will come about from the faculty discussion of the data at during the faculty meeting that the data is presented.

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE						
Rubric – Compl	leted by:	Date:				
(To be completed by	each committee member & reader. Please check	each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropri	ate within each attribute category)			
Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations			
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear	Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding			
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills Presentation is narrow in scope No application to Poultry Science	Presentation acceptablePresentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matterPresentation reveals above average critical thinking skillsPresentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplinesApplication to Poultry Science evident	Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science			
Quality of response to questions	Responses are incomplete or required promptingArguments are poorly presentedRespondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject areaResponses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student does not realize the connection of research to poultry science	Responses are complete Arguments are well organizedRespondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject areaResponses meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student adequately connects research to poultry science	Responses are eloquent Arguments are skillfully presentedRespondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject areaResponses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research			

Confidential Comments:

____Does not meet expectations

Overall

assessment

___Meets Expectations

_Exceeds Expectations

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material					
Rubric – Completed by:	Date:				
(To be completed by each committee member & reader.	Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)				

Attribute for	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	
WRITTEN	`Provide a short explanation for each attribute			
Overall quality	that you select in this category Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior	
Overall quality	or flawed	Arguments are conferent and clear	Aiguments are superior	
of science	Objectives are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined	
	Demonstrated rudimentary critical	Demonstrates average critical	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills	
	thinking skills	thinking skills		
	Does not reflect understanding of	Reflects understanding of	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and	
	Subject matter and associated	theoretical concepts	associated literature	
	literature	Demonstrates understanding of	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical	
	Demonstrates poor understanding	theoretical concepts	concepts	
	of theoretical	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality	
	Demonstrates limited originality	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and	
	Displays limited creativity and		insight	
	insight			
Contribution	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery	
to	Limited evidence of discoveryLimited expansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research	
**	research	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied	
discipline	Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance	
	significance	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact	
	Limited publication impact	· ' '		
Experimental	Duplication of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of	
design imple-	Design/approach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or	innovative	
mentation		innovative		
and	Data interpretation is inappropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate and	
interpretation	and/or uses incorrect methodology;	and uses limited number of correct methodology;	creatively uses correct methodology;	
	Identifies no weakness in	Identifies some weaknesses in	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;	
	interpretation	interpretation		
		Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability	
	Demonstrates a lack of ability to	articulate a critical response in	articulate a critical response to one's	
	articulate a critical response in	in one's own work or that of	own work or that of other research	
	one's own work or that of other research in the field	other research in the field	in the field	
Quality of	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality	
writing	Numerous grammatical and spelling	Some grammatical and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors	
Wilting	errors	errors apparent	apparent	
	Organization is poor	Organization is logical	Organization is excellent	
	Documentation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent	
Overall	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	
assessment				
Confidential Comments:				

Department of Poultry Science AY 2019-2020