## **Annual Academic Assessment Report**

(PhD/POULTRY SCIENCE)

(May 14, 2021)

## Report annually to the Dean of the college/school the following:

Results of analysis of assessment of Student Learning Outcome

**Assessement 1:** Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

**Measure 1: Qualifying Exam.** None to report in this period.

**Measure 2a: Written dissertation.** 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric for written communication.

**Measure 2b: Oral communication (defense).** 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric for oral communication. 100% of students successfully passed defense.

Measure 3: Exit interview. No formal exit interviews were given this year due to COVID.

 Any changes to degree/certificate planned or made on the basis of the assessment and analysis.

No changes to report at this time. Few students graduated within the past year. However, the graduate curriculum committee and remaining faculty will hold discussions regarding potential core classes within the POSC curriculum.

Any changes to the assessment process made or planned.

Rubrics for oral and written communication will be used by all committees for qualifying exams, dissertation reviews, and student defenses. Assessment plan will be revised for 2021-2022.

## **Student's Graduate Program:**

Rubric – Comp	leted by:	Date:		
(To be completed by	each committee member & reader. Please check	each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropri	ate within each attribute category)	
Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations  Provide a short explanation for each attribute  That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	
verall quality presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organizedClear presentationGood communication skillsSlides and handouts clear	Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding	
verall breadth knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation acceptablePresentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge	
	Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills	
	Presentation is narrow in scope	Presentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend know-ledge from multiple disciplines	
	No application to Poultry Science	Application to Poultry Science evident	Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science	
uality of sponse to	Responses are incomplete or required prompting	Responses are complete	Responses are eloquent	
uestions	Arguments are poorly presentedRespondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject areaResponses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student does not realize the connection of research to poultry science	Arguments are well organizedRespondent exhibits adequate     knowledge in subject areaResponses meet level expected     of degree program of     graduate (MS or PhD)Student adequately connects     research to poultry science	Arguments are skillfully presentedRespondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject areaResponses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD)Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research to poultry science	
verall sessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material	
Rubric – Completed by:	 Date:

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations Exceeds Expectations	
WRITTEN	`Provide a short explanation for each attribute		
	that you select in this category		
Overall quality	Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
of science	or flawed	Objectives are also a	Objectives are well defined
	Objectives are poorly defined	Objectives are clear	Objectives are well defined
	Demonstrated rudimentary critical thinking skills	Demonstrates average critical thinking skills	Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	Does not reflect understanding of	Reflects understanding of	Exhibits mastery of subject matter and
	Subject matter and associated	theoretical concepts	associated literature
	literature	Demonstrates understanding of	Demonstrates mastery of theoretical
	Demonstrates poor understanding	theoretical concepts	concepts
	of theoretical	Demonstrates originality	Demonstrates exceptional originality
	Demonstrates limited originality	Displays creativity and insight	Displays exceptional creativity and
	Displays limited creativity and		insight
	insight		
Contribution	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
to	Limited expansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research
discipline	research	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied
	Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance
	significance	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
F	Limited publication impact Duplication of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of
Experimental	Design/approach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or	innovative
design imple-	Design/approach flot appropriate	innovative	iniovative
mentation	Data interpretation is inappropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate	Data interpretation is appropriate and
and	and/or uses incorrect methodology;	and uses limited number of	creatively uses correct methodology;
interpretation		correct methodology;	
	Identifies no weakness in	Identifies some weaknesses in	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;
	interpretation	interpretation	
		Demonstrates a limited ability to	Demonstrates an advanced ability
	Demonstrates a lack of ability to	articulate a critical response in	articulate a critical response to one's
	articulate a critical response in	in one's own work or that of	own work or that of other research
	one's own work or that of other research in the field	other research in the field	in the field
Quality of	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality
=	Numerous grammatical and spelling	Some grammatical and spelling	No grammatical or spelling errors
writing	errors	errors apparent	apparent
	Organization is poor	Organization is logical	Organization is excellent
	Documentation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent
Overall	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
assessment			
Confidential Co	mments:		