Annual Academic Assessment Report

(PhD/POULTRY SCIENCE)

(May 23, 2023)

Report annually to the Dean of the college/school the following:

Results of analysis of assessment of Student Learning Outcome

Assessment 1: Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

Measure 1: Qualifying Exam. Students met or exceeded expectations per rubric for oral and written communication (below). 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) for written communication (average score 2.3 (oral) and 2.2 (written) out of 3)

Measure 2a: Written dissertation. 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) for written communication (average score 2.5 out of 3)

Measure 2b: Oral communication (defense). 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) for oral communication (average score 2.6 out of 3) 100% of students successfully passed defense.

Competence Area	Spring 2023
Technical Competency	4.4
Environmental Awareness	4.2
Ethical Responsibility	4.4
Leadership Ability	4.4
Oral Communication	4.2
Written Communication	4.2
Critical Thinking / Problem Solving	4.4
Basic / Applied Research Understanding	4.6
Creativity	4.0
Writing / Presenting Scientific Information	4.4

Measure 3: Exit interview.

Table 1. Graduate student Exit Survey: Student Self-Assessment of Core Competencies

¹n=5 respondents

Scale: 1=does not meet expectations, 2=needs improvement, 3=meets expectations

4=above average, 5=exceeds expectations

Self-assessment by recent graduates receiving a Ph.D. in Poultry Science from our department ranked their competency in these 10 interpersonal or communication skills between "above average" and "exceeds expectations" ratings.

Any changes to degree/certificate planned or made on the basis of the assessment and analysis.

No changes to report at this time. Few students graduated within the past year and those students are doing well (exceeding expectations in most categories of assessment) on written and oral communication and/or content.

Any changes to the assessment process made or planned

Rubrics for oral and written communication will be used by all committees for qualifying exams, dissertation reviews, and student defenses.

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE ______

Rubric – Completed by: _____

Date: _____

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear	Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills Presentation is narrow in scope No application to Poultry Science	 Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplines Application to Poultry Science evident 	 Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science
Quality of response to questions	 Responses are incomplete or required prompting Arguments are poorly presented Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area Responses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student does not realize the connection of research to poultry science 	knowledge in subject area	 Responses are eloquent Arguments are skillfully presented Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area Responses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research to poultry science
Overall assessment Confidential Com	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material

Rubric – Completed by: ______

Date: _____

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for WRITTEN	Does Not Meet Expectations <i>Provide a short explanation for each attribute</i> <i>that you select in this category</i>	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of science	 Arguments are incorrect, incoherent, or flawed Objectives are poorly defined Demonstrated rudimentary critical thinking skills Does not reflect understanding of Subject matter and associated literature Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical Demonstrates limited originality Displays limited creativity and insight 	 Arguments are coherent and clear Objectives are clear Demonstrates average critical thinking skills Reflects understanding of theoretical concepts Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts Demonstrates originality Displays creativity and insight 	 Arguments are superior Objectives are well defined Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts Demonstrates exceptional originality Displays exceptional creativity and insight
Contribution to discipline	Limited evidence of discovery Limited expansion upon previous research Limited theoretical or applied significance Limited publication impact	Some evidence of discovery Builds upon previous research Reasonable theoretical or applied significance Reasonable publication impact	 Exceptional evidence of discovery Greatly extends previous research Exceptional theoretical or applied significance Exceptional publication impact
Experimental design imple- mentation and interpretation	 Duplication of previous work. Design/approach not appropriate Data interpretation is inappropriate and/or uses incorrect methodology; Identifies no weakness in interpretation Demonstrates a lack of ability to articulate a critical response in one's own work or that of other research in the field 	 Design/approach moderately moderately appropriate or innovative Data interpretation is appropriate and uses limited number of correct methodology; Identifies some weaknesses in interpretation Demonstrates a limited ability to articulate a critical response in in one's own work or that of other research in the field 	 Design/approach appropriate of innovative Data interpretation is appropriate and creatively uses correct methodology; Identifies weaknesses in interpretation; Demonstrates an advanced ability articulate a critical response to one's own work or that of other research in the field
Quality of writing	 Writing is weak Numerous grammatical and spelling errors Organization is poor Documentation is poor 	 Writing is adequate Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent Organization is logical Documentation is adequate 	 Writing is publication quality No grammatical or spelling errors apparent Organization is excellent Documentation is excellent
Overall assessment Confidential Co	Does not meet expectations mments:	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations