Annual Academic Assessment Report

(MS/POULTRY SCIENCE)

(MAY 15, 2025)

Report annually to the Dean of the college/school the following:

Results of analysis of assessment of Student Learning Outcome

Assessment 1: Students will obtain a depth of information in one or more selected areas of poultry science or related disciplines including; poultry health, immunology, genetics, molecular biology, nutrition, parasitology, physiology, food safety/microbiology, poultry product technology, and poultry enterprise operations.

Measure 1: Coursework grades. 100% of completing students earned an average of B or better in overall coursework (GPA > 3.0)

Measure 2a: Written thesis. 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) with average scores of 2.5 out of 3 (improvement over previous year).

Measure 2b: Oral communication (defense). 100% of students met or exceeded expectations per rubric (below) with average scores of 2.4 out of 3. 100% of students passed thesis defense.

Measure 3: Exit interview.

Table 1. Graduate student Exit Survey: Student Self-Assessment of Core Competencies

Competence Area	Spring 2024
Technical Competency	4.6
Environmental Awareness	4.6
Ethical Responsibility	4.8
Leadership Ability	4.8
Oral Communication	4.6
Written Communication	4.4
Critical Thinking / Problem Solving	4.6
Basic / Applied Research Understanding	4.6
Creativity	4.2
Writing / Presenting Scientific Information	4.2

Scale: 1=does not meet expectations, 2=needs improvement, 3=meets expectations 4=above average, 5=exceeds expectations

Self-assessment by recent graduates receiving a M.S. in Poultry Science from our department ranked their competency in these 10 interpersonal or communication skills as "above average."

Any changes to degree/certificate planned or made on the basis of the assessment and <u>analysis</u>

No changes to report at this time.

Any changes to the assessment process made or planned

No changes at this time.

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation ORAL DEFENSE ______

Rubric – Completed by: _____

Date: _____

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for ORAL	Does Not Meet Expectations Provide a short explanation for each attribute That you select in this category.	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of presentation	Poorly organized Poor presentation Poor communication skills Slides and handouts difficult to read	Clearly organized Clear presentation Good communication skills Slides and handouts clear	Well organized Professional presentation Excellent communication skills Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	Presentation unacceptable Presentation reveals critical weakness In depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation does not reflect well developed critical thinking skills Presentation is narrow in scope No application to Poultry Science	 Presentation acceptable Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the the draw from knowledge in several disciplines Application to Poultry Science evident 	 Presentation superior Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines Presentation shows clear application to Poultry Science
Quality of response to questions	 Responses are incomplete or required prompting Arguments are poorly presented Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area Responses do not meet level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student does not realize the connection of research to poultry science 	knowledge in subject area	 Responses are eloquent Arguments are skillfully presented Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area Responses exceed level expected of degree program of graduate (MS or PhD) Student is able to discuss in depth the connection of thesis research to poultry science
Overall assessment Confidential Com	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations

Student's Graduate Program:

Thesis/Dissertation Written Material

Rubric – Completed by: _____

Date: _____

(To be completed by each committee member & reader. Please check each evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)

Attribute for WRITTEN	Does Not Meet Expectations <i>Provide a short explanation for each attribute</i> <i>that you select in this category</i>	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality	Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	Arguments are coherent and clear	Arguments are superior
of science	or flawed Objectives are poorly defined Demonstrated rudimentary critical thinking skills	Objectives are clear Demonstrates average critical thinking skills	Objectives are well defined Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	Does not reflect understanding of Subject matter and associated literature Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical Demonstrates limited originality Displays limited creativity and insight	 Reflects understanding of theoretical concepts Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts Demonstrates originality Displays creativity and insight 	 Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts Demonstrates exceptional originality Displays exceptional creativity and insight
Contribution	Limited evidence of discovery	Some evidence of discovery	Exceptional evidence of discovery
to	Limited expansion upon previous	Builds upon previous research	Greatly extends previous research
discipline	research	Reasonable theoretical or applied	Exceptional theoretical or applied
uiscipiirie	Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance
	significance	Reasonable publication impact	Exceptional publication impact
	Limited publication impact		
Experimental	Duplication of previous work.	Design/approach moderately	Design/approach appropriate of
design imple- mentation	Design/approach not appropriate	moderately appropriate or innovative	innovative
and interpretation	Data interpretation is inappropriate and/or uses incorrect methodology;	Data interpretation is appropriate and uses limited number of correct methodology;	Data interpretation is appropriate and creatively uses correct methodology;
	Identifies no weakness in interpretation	Identifies some weaknesses in interpretation	Identifies weaknesses in interpretation;
	Demonstrates a lack of ability to articulate a critical response in one's own work or that of other research in the field	Demonstrates a limited ability to articulate a critical response in in one's own work or that of other research in the field	Demonstrates an advanced ability articulate a critical response to one's own work or that of other research in the field
Quality of	Writing is weak	Writing is adequate	Writing is publication quality
writing	Numerous grammatical and spelling errors Organization is poor	Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent Organization is logical	No grammatical or spelling errors apparent Organization is excellent
	Documentation is poor	Documentation is adequate	Documentation is excellent
Overall assessment	Does not meet expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Confidential Co	mments:		